

(21) Application No 8126556

(22) Date of filing

2 Sep 1981

(30) Priority data

(31) 8028391

(32) 3 Sep 1980

(33) United Kingdom (GB)

(43) Application published

17 Mar 1982

(51) INT CL³ G08B 23/00

(52) Domestic classification

G4N 1P 4X 5A 6D1

6DX 6N 7A 7X EG GA

(56) Documents cited

None

(58) Field of search

G4N

(71) Applicant

Nuclear Power Company
Limited

1 Stanhope Gate

London

W1A 1EH

(72) Inventors

Brian Hills

Daniel Welbourne

(74) Agents

H V A Kirby Esq

Central Patent

Department

The General Electric Co

Ltd

Hirst Research Centre


Wembley

Middlesex

may request a display of all alarms
present in a particular group.

(54) Alarm systems

(57) An alarm system includes a computer 20 arranged to analyse various alarm conditions of apparatus (e.g. a nuclear power plant) to determine which alarms result from the prime causes of a number of alarms which may be present. The prime cause alarms are displayed on a visual display unit 32 and the presence of subsidiary alarms is indicated by group alarm lamp on a group alarm panel 34. The operator






FIG.1

2/4




FIG. 2





FIG.4
FIG.5

FIG.3

FIG.4



SPECIFICATION

Alarm systems

5 The present invention relates to alarm systems and in particular but not exclusively to such systems for use in nuclear power stations.

With large and complicated engineering installations the number of alarms which may
10 be present, at anyone time may easily overwhelm an operator responsible for such an installation.

In trying to find the cause of, say, a particular motor bearing running hot it is possible for
15 the operator to overlook some major cause of the bearing running hot such as a coolant failure due to a pump fault.

To assist operators with analysing the kind of alarm just described "alarm tree systems"
20 have been developed wherein each alarm is examined by a computer and categorised as a 'prime' cause or a minor alarm. Such systems may then identify the prime cause to the operator showing either listed with the prime
25 cause or separately, the other alarms stemming from the prime cause.

It will be appreciated that an alarm, in one case, may be resilient from some higher order alarm whilst on another occasion may be a
30 prime cause in itself.

Developing an "alarm tree" system for machinery of any kind is a straightforward operation although possibly time consuming if the alarm arrangements are complex. However
35 implementing such a scheme by computer without careful consideration of its implementation may result in such frequent accessing of backing store information as to slow the processing function of the computer to the extent that alarm presentation is delayed.

It is one object of the present invention to provide an alarm system in which the disadvantages of mass presentation of alarms are substantially reduced.

45 According to the present invention an alarm system comprises a computer, first display means for displaying prime cause alarm information, further display means for displaying subsidiary alarms which are dependant upon
50 at least one associated alarm displayed on the first display means, the status of each condition being monitored by the computer being presented at an input of the computer in digital form and being read by the computer
55 at periodic intervals, the computer having at least one data word for each of the conditions being monitored and being arranged at each reading of a condition to compare the current status of said condition with the previous
60 status of the condition as indicated by its respective stored data to determine when a change of status of the condition occurs and if the change of status indicates that the condition is an alarm to determine to which one of
65 n groups of alarms the alarm belongs, and to

activate a respective one of n warning means of the further display means associated with the particular group of alarms the computer also being arranged periodically to consider
70 each alarm with respect to any other alarms to determine whether the alarm is a prime cause or is an alarm resulting from another - cause to display each said prime cause alarm on the first display means.

75 Preferably the first display means is a visual display unit and each prime cause alarm is displayed as a phrase or sentence thereon.

Each display of a prime cause alarm may also include a reference to a plant operating
80 manual for advice on checks which should be carried out or may include a suggested course of action.

A prime cause alarm may be generated by the computer and displayed on the visual
85 display unit if a number of alarms are present which are not related to a specifically monitored condition.

An embodiment of an alarm system in accordance with the invention will now be described with reference to the accompanying drawings of which:-

Figure 1 shows a simple alarm tree,

Figure 2 is a block schematic of an alarm system in accordance with the invention,

95 *Figure 3* is a schematic showing how Figs 4 and 5 should be assembled, and

Figures 4 and 5 show a part of a typical alarm tree for a power station.

Referring first to Fig. 1 the alarm tree
100 shown is a simple tree for a feed pump oil system to show how to develop an alarm tree.

If for example the machinery being monitored has four bearings then a monitored condition may be the bearing temperature. If
105 any of the bearings runs hot then the alarm condition bearing temperature N high, 1 to 4, will be generated.

The bearing temperature being high may result from oil flow to the bearing being
110 interrupted or the flow of coolant to the bearing being interrupted, these giving rise to respective alarms oil flow N stopped 5 to 8 or coolant flow N stopped 9 to 12.

The oil flow interruption may be due to the
115 oil pump being stopped which may give rise to an oil pump stopped alarm 13. Similarly coolant flow interruption may be due to a coolant pump failure which may give rise to a coolant pump stopped alarm 14. The pump
120 stopped alarms 13 and 14 may arise if their respective power supplies are interrupted to give rise to respective alarms of oil pump power fail 15 and coolant pump power fail 16.

125 If both power fail alarms 15 and 16 are present a computer monitoring the system may generate a synthetic alarm of main power fail 17.

The action of the computer in analysing the
130 alarms arising will now be considered.

If one of the bearings is running with temperature high then one of the alarms, say "bearing 2 temperature high" 2 will be present. If none of the higher order alarms for example "oil flow stopped" 6 or "coolant pump stopped" 14 are present then the computer is arranged to display the message "Bearing 2 temperature high" on a visual display unit for prime cause alarms.

However if say the alarm "oil flow 2 stopped" 6 is present then "bearing 2 temperature high" 2 may be assumed to stem from the higher order fault. The computer therefore displays the message "Oil flow 2 stopped" as the prime cause on the visual display unit and treats the other alarm as subsidiary.

If now all of the "bearing temperature *N* high" alarms 1 to 4 are present with no higher order cause then the computer may display all four alarms as prime causes on the visual display unit and at the same time produce a synthetic alarm of say "oil filter dirty" 18. Alternatively the "oil filter dirty" alarm may be displayed as prime cause and the bearing *N* temperature high alarms treated as subsidiary alarms.

Possible synthetic alarms are indicated in the diagram by broken line interconnections.

It will be appreciated that the simplified alarm tree of Fig. 1 has been described to enable the reader to understand the basic method of determining the prime cause order of an alarm tree.

Referring now to Fig. 2 the alarm system comprises a computer 20 having a number of alarm condition monitoring devices (not shown) connected thereto by way of interface circuits 21 to 2*n*.

The computer 20 has access to fast access storage 40 and backing storage 30 which may be a disc store for example. The outputs of the computer are connected to an operator's console 31 which includes a visual display unit 32 on which alarm messages may be displayed and a plant group panel 34 having one lamp for each group of alarms to which the monitored alarm conditions may be allocated. The visual display unit 32 has an associated keyboard 33 which may be used by the operator to request a display of all alarms present in a particular group (as indicated by an illuminated alarm lamp of the plant group alarm panel 34) or may be used to request an analysis of the alarms in a particular group to determine the major cause or causes of alarms in that group.

'Major' or 'prime' cause alarms are displayed on the visual display unit 32 whilst subsidiary alarms may be displayed either on the visual display unit 32 or (if provided) on a separate visual display unit (not shown).

Periodically, say every 200 milliseconds, the computer 20 scans each input condition from the interface circuits 21 to 2*n* and rec-

ords those conditions in an individual storage word for each condition in the fast access store 40. Programmes for scanning and storing input conditions are well known and are not further described herein.

It will be appreciated that the interface circuits 21 to 2*n* need not have individual inputs to the computer but may be accessed by way of a concentrator (not shown) using suitable addressing. A typical nuclear power station for example may have five thousand monitoring devices which may be addressed using, a 13-bit binary address.

A separate computer programme running synchronously with the scanning programme compares the state of each monitored condition as stored by its respective word in the fast access store with the previous state of that condition.

If this programme detects a change in the state of the monitored condition (e.g. fresh alarm or alarm cleared) it is arranged to record the change and time of change in the backing store 30. This information may be output to a printer (not shown).

When this latter programme detects a change of state to the alarm condition it is arranged to determine from the data held in the backing store 30, which data is user-determined from the "alarm tree", to which of, say, 100 plant groups the alarm belongs. Unless another alarm already exists in the group, the programme causes a respective one of 100 data words in the fast access store 40 to change to indicate alarm presence in the group and causes a respective one of the alarm lamps on the plant group alarm panel 34 to be illuminated.

The activation of an alarm lamp on the group alarm panel 34 is an instruction for the operator to check the alarms of that group. Each alarm lamp has a respective group number and title above the lamp on the group alarm panel 34.

The operator may request, by use of the keyboard 33, that all alarms present in a particular group be displayed on the visual display unit 32 or on the separate visual display unit (not shown). Alternatively the operator may request an alarm analysis of the particular group.

If alarm analysis is requested the computer 20 is arranged to locate the prime cause alarm or alarms and display these alarms on the prime cause visual display unit 32. All alarms in the group may at the same time be individually displayed on the separate visual display unit (not shown).

User defined data (prepared from the alarm tree as previously defined) is held in the backing store 30 for each group of the 100 plant groups. This data represents the linkages between the alarms in the alarm tree and the display title for each alarm. The data also includes linkages and alarm titles for

synthetic alarms which are detected by logic analysis of the alarms which may be present in the group.

Subsidiary information, such as references to sections of an instruction manual, may also be held in the store.

When an alarm analysis for a particular group is either operator requested or is required in response to automatic analysis as hereinafter stated the plant group data for the respective group is located from the backing store 30 and is transferred to the fast access store 40.

A threading organiser programme now threads the data in the group through appropriate standard sub-routines of the computer 20.

The principle subroutines provided are titled ANALYSISGROUP, FETCH, LINK, DISPLAY and GROUP. The functions of each of these subroutines is as follows: The subroutine ANALYSISGROUP: *n* calls the data for group *n* from the backing store 30 to the fast access store 40 of the computer 20.

FETCH: alarm no. The FETCH subroutine examines the respective data word (as defined by the data called from the backing store) relating to the alarm number to determine whether the monitored condition is an alarm. If the condition is normal (i.e. not an alarm) the programme proceeds to the next FETCH or if all alarms of this group have been examined to the next ANALYSISGROUP.

If an alarm is present a LINK or DISPLAY subroutine, dependent on the position of the alarm in the alarm tree will be entered. LINK: alarm No. The LINK subroutine examines the respective data word (as defined by the data called from the backing store) relating to the alarm number to determine whether the alarm condition is present. If the higher order alarm condition is not present exit from the LINK subroutine is to either a further LINK or a DISPLAY subroutine. If the alarm condition is present exit from the LINK subroutine will be a subsequent FETCH or to the next ANALYSISGROUP.

GROUP: 'm': list 'p' synthetic alarm no. The GROUP subroutine is used to raise a synthetic alarm if a number 'm' of alarms of a subgroup of the main group is present. The alarm numbers of each member of the subgroup are held in a list 'p' which will have been transferred from the backing store by the ANALYSISGROUP subroutine. If 'm' or more of the alarms in list 'p' are present a DISPLAY subroutine is entered to display the synthetic alarm defined by the synthetic alarm number on the prime cause VDU 32. Exit from the GROUP subroutine is to a further GROUP subroutine or to a DISPLAY subroutine.

FETCH and LINK subroutines may exit to a GROUP subroutine.

The data for FETCH and LINK subroutines may include a system parameter to prevent

the subroutine being entered when the check being made is not on the particular system.

Preparation of the data for each group may be more readily understood by consideration of following examples.

Referring to Figs. 4 and 5 when assembled as shown in Fig. 3 the alarm tree shown is for a gas circulator (GC) system of a nuclear power station having two reactors (R1 and R2).

The lower left hand corner of Fig. 4 includes an alarm statement GC motor coolant gas outlet temperature hi. The data for this alarm would show that GC304A1 is "GC A1 Motor Coolant Gas outlet temperature high" and that the alarm may be subsidiary to the alarms GC302A1A, GC302A1B, GC302A1C and GC303A1.

The threading organiser programme interprets this data through the subroutines as:-

FETCH: GC304A1

LINK: GC302A1A

LINK: GC302A1B

LINK: GC302A1C

LINK: GC303A1

DISPLAY: GCA1 MOTOR COOLANT GAS OUTLET TEMP HIGH.

The threading of the data as shown occurs if none of the alarms GC302A1A, GC302A1B, GC302A1C or GC303A1 is present and that GC304A1 is present. This being the case GC304A1 is the highest order alarm present and is displayed as the prime cause.

If one of the higher order alarms is present the threading of data through the LINK subroutines ceases and the threading organiser proceeds to thread data relating to the next alarm. The higher order alarm will subsequently be analysed in its own right and may then be displayed as the prime cause alarm.

Thus if GC302A1B is also in the alarm condition and assuming GC303A1 not to be so when the threading of data for GC302A1B occurs a prime cause display of "GC A1 MOTOR TEMP HIGH" will be displayed. Therefore the operator is led to the cause of the outlet temperature being high rather than the effect of the motor temperature being high.

Considering a more complex piece of analysis for the gas circulator gas cooling water flow as shown in the top left of Fig. 5 the threading organiser will thread data for this alarm:

FETCH: GC122A1A

LINK(R1): RP1061

LINK(R1): RP1421

GROUP:2: LIST 1 : GC402

5

DISPLAY: GCA1A GAS COOLER CW FLOW LOW.

10

List 1 will comprise GC122A1A

GC122A1B

GC122A2A

15

GC122A2B

Thus if GC122A1A is in the alarm condition, RP1061 of reactor 1 (LINK(R1)) (reactor cooling water system flow low) and RP1421 of reactor 1 are checked. If neither of the high order alarms are present then associated gas cooler cooling water flow alarms GC122A1A, GC122A1B, GC122A2A, GC122A2B are checked and if any two or more of these four alarms are present (GROUP:2) the synthetic alarm "GC402 GC cooler system cooling water flow low" is displayed on the prime cause VDU 32.

It will be appreciated that separating the scanning and analysis of the alarms rather than attempting to analyse each alarm as it arises, prevents the computer being swamped by making major demands on the backing store and waiting for the appropriate data to be transferred.

All alarms may be displayed to the operator but the principle faults are also made readily apparent.

In the absence of a specific request from the operator for an analysis of a particular group the computer 20 may be arranged to call for an analysis of each group in turn at periodic intervals so that the operator is kept informed of the prime causes of all the alarm conditions present in the system.

Since the computer is also capable of inputting various conditions such as the opening or closing of manually operable valves the operator may by use of the keyboard 33 request an analysis of a suitable plant state under fault conditions.

The threading organiser may be used to thread respective data through the same sub-routines to analyse the current plant state and advise the operator on corrective procedures.

Accidents at various power stations have brought out the importance of correct preventative action when alarms initially appear, and of the importance of the coincidence of two or three fault states which of themselves, individually, result only in a correct operation of standby plant or in a reduction of plant integrity in a designed and individually acceptable manner.

As a particular example consider the restraints on operation in a nuclear plant which must be imposed in order to ensure continued availability of post-trip cooling, in the event of major hazards, for example, cable fires or coolant circuit breach.

Typically, four diesel driven fire fighting pumps may be provided for a station and operation may continue safely with one pump not available, but an increasing hazard exists if a pump outage is prolonged. If two pumps are not available, then within a period (say 2 hours) the station should be shutdown even if no fire exists, for reasons of prudence.

A gas cooled reactor typically has 4 boiler circuits, each with a gas circulating blower driven by a main motor, and by an auxiliary pony motor used at shutdown. Post-trip, at least one boiler must be available – where availability is defined by an actual requirement, such as follows:–

1a) The pony motor supply is available.

1b) The pony motor control supply is available.

1c) The pony motor control equipment is available.

1d) The pony motor protection has not operated

1e) Associated Gas circulator Bearing Temperatures are not excessive.

1f) An associated circulator inlet guide vane operating Fast Motor is available.

The unavailability of any of the above is indicated by the presence of an accompanying alarm.

Non-alarm operating constraints include:–

1g) An associated Pony Motor Mode Selector Switch is selected to the Auto Start position;

1h) A 415v Gas Circulator Board bus section switch is available whenever a Pony Motor/Boiler Unit within the same sub-set is not available;

1j) one 3.3 kV/415V Gas Circulator Transformer is available in each sub-set;

1k) An associated Emergency Feed Header Discharge Valve is fully open;

1l) an associated Economiser Isolating Valve is fully open;

1m) an associated Start-up Feedwater Regulator Valve is fully open;

1n) control equipment providing close action of the associated Boiler Stop Valve is available;

1o) associated Steam Dump Valve control equipment is available;

1p) automatic mode of control is selected for use on the Steam Dump Valve Control System. Selection of the manual mode of control is indicated by an alarm;

1q) the Steam Dump Valve Control Equipment is not on test. Equipment on test is indicated by an alarm; and

1r) Steam Dump Pressure Demand is within predetermined limits of the required value for

use post-trip.

- Operating restraints involved on boiler pairs can be expressed on the basis of two separate sets of boilers – say set one comprising Boilers A and B and set 2 comprising Boilers C and D.

For safety purposes (as an example):

- 2a) not more than one boiler must be unavailable within each set of a reactor at power for a period in excess of 1 hour unless orderly shutdown of the reactor is initiated;
- 2b) The unavailability of one boiler in either set is undesirable and should not be allowed to persist for long periods because if a fire should affect one set the circulator motor post-trip run on protection could affect the other set. Operation on three gas circuits should be initiated if the situation has not been improved after 4 hours, to reduce the probability of an available boiler unit being lost as a result of failure to trip a main motor breaker;
- 2c) The integrity of a boiler is attained by the use of redundant power supplies etc. Where one boiler unit of a set is unavailable and there is not a full complement of essential supplies available to the other unit or unavailability of changeover units, orderly shutdown of the reactor shall be initiated if the situation cannot be corrected within a period of 4 hours;
- 2d) The System integrity relies upon the availability of a fully connected Emergency Feed Header. It is undesirable for the header valves (either manual or automatic) to be closed. If a boiler is unavailable (say in set 2) the automatic or manual valve associated with the header section feeding the set 1 boilers should not be closed for more than 12 hours; or
- 2e) The unavailability of one boiler in one set coexisting with the unavailability in the other set of a Gas Circulator Transformer should not be allowed to persist for more than 8 hours.

The above restraints can be represented by a combination of alarm grouping and of truth tables. These in turn can be expressed by means of alarm analysis data and interpreted in the manner already described. The coincidence of operation of alarms may be used to generate appropriate alarms, and display a phrase including a time limit. A time delay subroutine may be included so that an additional alarm is displayed after the appropriate delay.

In the case of the above example, "boiler not available" alarms (1A, 1B, 1C, 1D for each boiler) must be derived by a GROUP subroutine involving 1(a) to 1(r) above, initiated as described if any of 1(a) to 1(r) are in the unacceptable state.

The backing store data to check the acceptability of the plant in respect of operating restraints 2(a) and 2(b) may be used by the threading organiser thus:

LIST R1R2: Boiler A; Boiler B; Boiler C; Boiler D;
LIST R1: Boiler A; Boiler B.
LIST R2: Boiler C; Boiler D.
GROUP: 2: LIST R1: SHUTDOWN ALARM
GROUP: 2: LIST R2: SHUTDOWN ALARM
GROUP: 1: LIST R1R2: ISOLATE ALARM
FETCH: SHUTDOWN ALARM
DISPLAY: TWO BOILERS FAILED—SHUTDOWN WITHIN 1 HOUR
FETCH: ISOLATE ALARM
LINK: SHUTDOWN ALARM
DISPLAY: BOILER FAILURE—ISOLATE WITHIN 4 HOURS

Thus when alarm analysis is carried out in the automatic mode the threading organiser threads the data through the provided subroutines first using the GROUP subroutine to determine whether both boilers (GROUP: 2) of either set (LIST R1/LIST R2) are unavailable. If this is the case the subroutine enters the shutdown alarm in the fast access store 40 and the backing store 30.

If any one of the four boilers is unavailable (GROUP:1) an isolate alarm is generated.

If the shutdown alarm is present the prime cause visual display unit 32 will display "TWO BOILERS FAILED—SHUTDOWN WITHIN 1 HOUR".

In this case the isolate alarm is treated as a subsidiary alarm. If the isolate alarm is present without the shutdown alarm the prime cause visual display unit 32 will display "BOILER FAILURE—ISOLATE WITHIN 4 HOURS".

The example above illustrates the principle for analysing plant states. The remaining operating restraints may be derived in a similar manner.

CLAIMS

1. An alarm system comprising a computer, first display means for displaying prime cause alarm information, further display means for displaying subsidiary alarms which are dependent upon at least one associated alarm displayed on the first display means, the status of each condition being monitored by the computer being presented at an input of the computer in digital form and being read by the computer at periodic intervals, the computer having at least one data word for each of the conditions being monitored and being arranged at each reading of a condition to compare the current status of said condition with the previous status of the condition as indicated by its respective stored data to determine when a change of status of the condition occurs and if the change of status indicates that the condition is an alarm to determine to which one of n groups of alarms the alarm belongs, and to activate a respective one of n warning means of the further display means associated with the particular group of

alarms the computer also being arranged periodically to consider each alarm with respect to any other alarms to determine whether the alarm is a prime cause or is an alarm resulting from another cause and to display each said prime cause alarm on the first display means.

2. An alarm system as claimed in Claim 1 in which said first display means is a visual display unit.

3. An alarm system as claimed in Claim 2 in which the computer is arranged to cause each prime cause alarm to be displayed as a phrase or sentence on the visual display unit.

4. An alarm system as claimed in Claim 3 in which the computer is also arranged to cause the visual display unit to display a reference to further information which is available for at least some of the displayed prime cause alarms.

5. An alarm system as claimed in any preceding claim in which the computer is also arranged periodically to consider predetermined groupings of the conditions being monitored and, if more than a specified number of one of said predetermined groupings are in an alarm state without a higher order alarm relating to a monitored condition being present, to cause the first display means to display a prime cause alarm determined from said grouping.

6. An alarm system as claimed in any preceding claim in which the computer is also arranged periodically to consider the operational capability of parts of the apparatus being monitored, to determine the acceptability of continued operation of the apparatus if some parts of the apparatus are not available for use and, if continued operation of the apparatus is unacceptable to cause said first display means to display an appropriate message.

7. An alarm system as claimed in Claim 6 in which the computer is also arranged to determine, in dependence on the parts of the apparatus which are not available for use and by consideration of the probability of further parts of the apparatus becoming unavailable, the probability of continued operation of the apparatus becoming unacceptable within a calculated period and to cause the first display means to display a warning message including said calculated period.

8. An alarm system as claimed in any preceding claim including a keyboard for use by an operator to request the computer to display on the first display means the titles of all of the alarm conditions present in one of said groups of alarms.

9. An alarm system as claimed in Claim 8 in which the computer is also arranged to respond to a keyboard request for an analysis of one of said groups of alarms.

10. An alarm system substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

Printed for Her Majesty's Stationery Office
by Burgess & Son (Abingdon) Ltd.—1982.
Published at The Patent Office, 25 Southampton Buildings,
London, WC2A 1AY, from which copies may be obtained.